

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/786,771	Applicant(s) YHANN ET AL.	
	Examiner Dan Washburn	Art Unit 2628	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Dan Washburn.

(3) _____

(2) Daniel Burns.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 February 2007

Time: 3 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

101 rejection of claims 1-28

Claims discussed:

1 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Washburn contacted Attorney Burns regarding amending claims 1 and 22 to put the application in allowable form. Claim 1 did not have a tangible output, so Attorney Burns and Examiner Washburn agreed on adding the limitation, "and displaying the raster representation on a display device or printing the raster representation on a printing device" in order to overcome the 35 USC 101 rejection. Further, Examiner Washburn recommended altering the beginning of the preamble of claim 22 so that it reads, "A computer-readable medium having stored thereon a computer program product..." in order to ensure that the claim is directed at a computer-readable medium storing a computer program product rather than a computer program product that may be stored on a computer-readable medium. Attorney Burns agreed to the changes.